

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/743,186		01/04/2001	Henning Lenz	P00,1938	7060
21171	7590	01/11/2005		EXAMINER THANGAVELU, KANDASAMY	
STAAS & 1	HALSEY	Y LLP			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	YORK A	VENUE, N.W.	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2123	
				DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Abandanment	09/743,186	LENZ ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Kandasamy Thangavelu	2123			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of № period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	· ·			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-			
(d) No reply has been received.					
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8) (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory permanents. 	5). received on (with a Certification	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated			
Allowance (PTOL-85).	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1	•	CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.				
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	iired by, and within the three-month բ	period set in, the Notice of			
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.				
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.					
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR			
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim 		e the period for seeking court review			
7. X The reason(s) below:					
The applicants have chosen to abandon the applica December 30, 2004. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra		STEET TANKET			
children to revive under 37 Or 13 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w are notating of abandonment under 37 (Server, for, should be promptly filed to			